



## Stability of Orthogonally Quintic Functional Equation in Multi-Banach Spaces

<sup>1</sup>R.Murali and <sup>2</sup>A.Antony Raj

Received on 14 September 2017, Accepted on 02 December 2017

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we establish the Hyers-Ulam stability of the orthogonally quintic functional equation in Multi-Banach Spaces.

**Key words:** Hyers-Ulam stability, Multi-Banach Spaces, orthogonally quintic functional equation, Fixed Point Method.

**AMS Subject classification:** Primary 39B82, 39B52, 47H10, 46H25

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The stability problem for functional equations starts from the famous talk of Ulam and the partial solution of Hyers to the Ulam's problem see ([17] and [6]). Thereafter, Rassias [14] attempted to solve the stability problem of the cauchy additive functional equation in a more general setting.

The concept introduced by Rassias's theorem significantly influenced a number of mathematicians to investigate the stability problems for various functional equations see ([1], [3], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [16], [20]).

In 2013, Fridoun Moradlou [5] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Euler-Lagrange-Jensen Type Additive mapping in Multi-Banach Spaces. In 2015, Xiuzhong Yang, Lidan Chang, Guofen Liu [19] established the orthogonal stability of mixed additive-quadratic jensen type functional equation in Multi-Banach Spaces. In 2016, Sattar Alizadeh, Fridoun Moradlou [15] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quadratic mapping in multi-Banach spaces.

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding Author: E-mail: [shcrmurali@yahoo.co.in](mailto:shcrmurali@yahoo.co.in)

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College, Tirupattur, TamilNadu, India.

Choonkil Park, Jian Lian CUI, Madjid Eshaghi GORDJI [2], proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of an orthogonally quintic functional equation in Banach Spaces.

**Theorem 1.1.** [13] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant  $\alpha < 1$ . Then for each given element  $x \in X$ , either*

$$d(T^n, T^{n+1}x) = \infty$$

*for all nonnegative integers  $n$  or there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that*

- (1)  $d(T^n, T^{n+1}x) < \infty, \forall n \geq m$ ;
- (2) *the sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point  $u^*$  of  $T$ ;*
- (3)  $u^*$  *is the unique fixed point of  $T$  in the set  $Y = \{u \in X : d(T^m x, u) < \infty\}$ ;*
- (4)  $d(u, u^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} d(u, Tu)$  *for all  $u \in Y$ .*

**Definition 1.2.** [4] A Multi- norm on  $\{\wp^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a sequence  $(\|\cdot\|) = (\|\cdot\|_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$  such that  $\|\cdot\|_k$  is a norm on  $\wp^k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}, \|x\|_1 = \|x\|$  for each  $x \in \wp$ , and the following axioms are satisfied for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 2$  :

- (1)  $\|(x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(k)})\|_k = \|(x_1 \dots x_k)\|_k$ , for  $\sigma \in \Psi_k, x_1 \dots x_k \in \wp$ ;
- (2)  $\|\alpha_1 x_1 \dots \alpha_k x_k\|_k \leq (\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} |\alpha_i|) \|(x_1 \dots x_k)\|_k$  for  $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \wp$ ;
- (3)  $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0)\|_k = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})\|_{k-1}$ , for  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \wp$ ;
- (4)  $\|(x_1 \dots x_{k-1}, x_{k-1})\|_k = \|(x_1 \dots x_{k-1})\|_{k-1}$  for  $x_1 \dots x_{k-1} \in \wp$ .

In this case, we say that  $((\wp^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$  is a multi - normed space.

Suppose that  $((\wp^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$  is a multi - normed spaces, and take  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We need the following two properties of multi - norms. They can be found in [4].

- (a)  $\|(x, \dots, x)\|_k = \|x\|$ , for  $x \in \wp$ ,
- (b)  $\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} \|x_i\| \leq \|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\| \leq k \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} \|x_i\|$ , for  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \wp$ .

It follows from (b) that if  $(\wp, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space, then  $(\wp^k, \|\cdot\|_k)$  is a Banach space for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; In this case,  $((\wp^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$  is a multi - Banach space.

**Definition 1.3.** [12] Suppose that  $X$  is a vector space (algebraic module) with  $\dim X \geq 2$ , and  $\perp$  is a binary relation on  $X$  with the following properties:

- (1) Totality of  $\perp$  for zero:  $x \perp 0, 0 \perp x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (2) Independence: If  $x, y \in X - 0$  and  $x \perp y$ , then  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly independent;
- (3) Homogeneity: If  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \perp y$ , then  $\alpha x \perp \beta y$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (4) Thalesian property: If  $P$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $X$ ,  $x \in P$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$  which is the set of non-negative real numbers, then there exists  $y_0 \in P$  such that  $x \perp y_0$  and  $x + y_0 \perp \lambda x - y_0$ .

The pair  $(X, \perp)$  is called an orthogonality space (resp., module). By an orthogonality normed space (normed module) we mean an orthogonality space (resp., module) having a normed (resp., normed module) structure.

In this paper, we achieve the Hyers - Ulam stability in orthogonally quintic functional equation in Multi-Banach Spaces

$$Df(x, y) = f(3x + y) - 5f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) + 10f(x + y) - 5f(x - y) - 10f(y) - f(3x) + 3f(2x) + 27f(x). \quad (1.1)$$

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $X$  be an orthogonality space and let  $((Y^k, \|\cdot\|) : K \in \mathbb{N})$  be a multi-Banach. Suppose that  $\beta$  is a nonnegative real number and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping satisfying

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(Df(x_1, y_1), \dots, Df(x_k, y_k))\|_k \leq \beta \quad (1.2)$$

$x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k \in P$  and  $x_i \perp y_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) and  $f(0) = 0$ . Then there exists a unique orthogonally quintic mapping  $Q_5 : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(x_1) - Q_5(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - Q_5(x_k))\|_k \leq \frac{1}{31}\beta \quad (1.3)$$

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_k = 0$  in (1.2), we obtain that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(32f(x_1) - f(2x_1), \dots, 32f(x_k) - f(2x_k))\| \leq \beta \quad (1.4)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X, x_i \perp 0$  where ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ).

Dividing on both side by 32 in (1.4), we get

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \left( f(x_1) - \frac{1}{32}f(2x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - \frac{1}{32}f(2x_k) \right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{32}\beta \tag{1.5}$$

Let  $\Lambda = \{g : P \rightarrow Q | g(0) = 0\}$  and introduce the generalized metric  $d$  defined on  $\Lambda$  by

$$d(l, m) = \inf \left\{ \lambda \in [0, \infty] \mid \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|l(x_1) - m(x_1), \dots, l(x_k) - m(x_k)\|_k \leq \lambda \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in X \right\}$$

Then it is easy to show that  $\Lambda, d$  is a generalized complete metric space. See [11].

We define an operator  $\mathcal{J} : P \rightarrow P$  by

$$\mathcal{J}l(x) = \frac{1}{32}l(2x) \quad x \in X.$$

We assert that  $\mathcal{J}$  is a strictly contractive operator. Given  $l, m \in \Lambda$ , let  $\lambda \in [0, \infty]$  be an arbitrary constant with  $d(l, m) \leq \lambda$ . From the definition d, it follows that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|l(x_1) - m(x_1), \dots, l(x_k) - m(x_k)\|_k \leq \lambda \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \in X.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\mathcal{J}l(x_1) - \mathcal{J}m(x_1), \dots, \mathcal{J}l(x_k) - \mathcal{J}m(x_k))\|_k \\ & \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \left( \frac{1}{32}l(2x_1) - \frac{1}{32}m(2x_1), \dots, \frac{1}{32}l(2x_k) - \frac{1}{32}m(2x_k) \right) \right\|_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{32}\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ .

Hence, it holds that

$$d(\mathcal{J}l, \mathcal{J}m) \leq \frac{1}{32}\lambda d(\mathcal{J}l, \mathcal{J}m) \leq \frac{1}{32}d(l, m) \quad \forall l, m \in \Lambda.$$

This Means that  $\mathcal{J}$  is strictly contractive operator on  $\Lambda$  with the Lipschitz constant  $L = \frac{1}{32}$ .

By (1.5), we have  $d(\mathcal{J}f, f) \leq \frac{1}{32}\beta < \infty$ . According to Theorem 1.1, we deduce the existence of a fixed point of  $\mathcal{J}$  that is the existence of mapping  $Q_5 : P \rightarrow Q$  such that

$$Q_5(2x) = 32Q_5(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Moreover, we have  $d(\mathcal{J}^n f, Q_5) \rightarrow 0$ , which implies

$$Q_5(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}^n f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{32^n}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Also,  $d(f, Q_5) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\mathcal{J}f, f)$  implies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} d(f, Q_5) &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{32}} d(\mathcal{J}f, f) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{31} \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Considering Definition, we have  $2^n x \perp 2^n y$ . Set  $x_1 = \dots, = x_k = 2^n x, y_1 = \dots, = y_k = 2^n y$  in (1.2) and divide both sides by  $32^n$ . Then, using property (a) of multi-norms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|DQ_5(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{32^n} \|Df(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta}{32^n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Hence  $Q_5$  is Quintic.

The uniqueness of  $Q_5$  follows from the fact that  $Q_5$  is the unique fixed point of  $\mathcal{J}$  with the property that there exists  $\ell \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(x_1) - Q_5(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - Q_5(x_k))\|_k \leq \ell$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ .

This completes the proof of the Theorem. □

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $\phi : X^{2k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with

$$\phi(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k) \leq 32\alpha \phi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{y_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2}, \frac{y_k}{2}\right) \tag{1.6}$$

for all  $x_i, y_i \in X$  with  $x_i \perp y_i$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$\|Df(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k)\| \leq \phi(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k) \tag{1.7}$$

for all  $x_i, y_i \in X$  with  $x_i \perp y_i$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then there exists a unique orthogonally quintic mapping  $Q_5 : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|(f(x_1) - Q_5(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - Q_5(x_k))\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \phi(x_1, 0, \dots, x_k, 0) \tag{1.8}$$

for all  $x_i \in X$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* Taking  $y_i = 0$  in (1.7), we get

$$\|(32f(x_1) - f(2x_1), \dots, 32f(x_k) - f(2x_k))\| \leq \phi(x_1, 0, \dots, x_k, 0) \tag{1.9}$$

for all  $x_i \in X$ , since  $x_i \perp 0$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . So

$$\left\| \left( f(x_1) - \frac{1}{32}f(2x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - \frac{1}{32}f(2x_k) \right) \right\| \leq \alpha \phi(x_1, 0, \dots, x_k, 0) \tag{1.10}$$

for all  $x_i \in X$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Consider the set  $G : h : X \rightarrow Y$  and introduce the generalized metric on  $G$ .

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{ \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \|(g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k))\| \leq \mu \phi(x_1, 0, \dots, x_k, 0) \quad \forall x_i \in X \}$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . It is easy to prove that  $(G, d)$  is complete. See [11]. It follows from (1.10) that  $d(f, Jf) \leq \alpha$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number and  $p$  a real number with  $p > 5$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$\|(Df(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k))\| \leq \theta (\|x_1\|^p + \|y_1\|^p, \dots, \|x_k\|^p + \|y_k\|^p) \tag{1.11}$$

for all  $x_i, y_i \in X$ , since  $x_i \perp y_i$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then there exists a unique orthogonally quintic mapping  $Q_5 : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|(f(x_1) - Q_5(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - Q_5(x_k))\| \leq \frac{2^p \theta}{32 - 2^p} (\|x_1\|^p, \dots, \|x_k\|^p)$$

for all  $x_i \in X$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 1.5 by taking  $\phi(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k) = \theta (\|x_1\|^p + \|y_1\|^p, \dots, \|x_k\|^p + \|y_k\|^p)$  for all  $x_i, y_i \in X$ , since  $x_i \perp y_i$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = 2^{p-5}$  and we get the desired result. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] **Aoki.T**, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 2 (1950), 64-66.
- [2] **Choonkil Park, Jian Lian CUI, Madjid Eshaghi GORDJI**, *Orthogonality and Quintic Functional Equations*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, 29 (2013), 1381-1390.
- [3] **Czerwik.S**, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, New Jersey, London, (2002).
- [4] **Dales, H.G and Moslehian**, *Stability of mappings on multi-normed spaces*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal, 49 (2007), 321-332.
- [5] **Fridoun Moradlou**, *Approximate Euler-Lagrange-Jensen type Additive mapping in Multi-Banach Spaces: A Fixed point Approach*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 28 (2013), 319-333.
- [6] **Hyers.D.H**, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 27 (1941), 222-224.
- [7] **Hyers.D.H, Isac.G, Rassias.T.M**, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Birkhuser, Basel, (1998).
- [8] **Jun.K, Kim.H**, *The Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 274 (2002), 867-878.
- [9] **Liguang Wang, Bo Liu and Ran Bai**, *Stability of a Mixed Type Functional Equation on Multi-Banach Spaces: A Fixed Point Approach*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications (2010), 9 pages.
- [10] **Lee.S, Im.S, Hwang.I**, *Quartic functional equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 307 (2005), 387-394.
- [11] **Mihet.D and Radu.V**, *On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces*, Journal of mathematical Analysis and Applications, 343 (2008), 567-572.
- [12] **Ratz.J**, *On Orthogonally Additive Mappings*, Aequationes Mathematicae, 28 (1985), 35-49.
- [13] **Radu.V**, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory 4 (2003), 91-96.
- [14] **Rassias.T.M**, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [15] **Sattar Alizadeh, Fridoun moradlou**, *Approximate a quadratic mapping in Multi-Banach Spaces, A Fixed Point Approach*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 7 (2016), 63-75.

- 
- [16] **Tian Zhou Xu, John Michael Rassias and Wan Xin Xu**, *Generalized Ulam - Hyers Stability of a General Mixed AQCQ functional equation in Multi-Banach Spaces: A Fixed point Approach*, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 3 (2010), 1032-1047.
- [17] **Ulam.S.M**, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience, New York, (1960).
- [18] **Wilansky.A**, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Space*, Mc Graw-Hill, New York, (1978).
- [19] **Xiuzhong Wang, Lidan Chang, Guofen Liu**, *Orthogonal Stability of Mixed Additive-Quadratic Jensen Type Functional Equation in Multi-Banach Spaces*, Advances in Pure Mathematics 5 (2015), 325-332.
- [20] **Zhihua Wang, Xiaopei Li and Themistocles M. Rassias**, *Stability of an Additive-Cubic-Quartic Functional Equation in Multi-Banach Spaces*, Abstract and Applied Analysis (2011), 11 pages.